Non-Examination Assessment Policy 2024-25

For the attention of:All StaffProduced by:Group Exams ManagerApproved by:SLTDate of publication:September 2024Date of review:September 2025



Vision, Purpose & Values

Our Vision

Our students will be recognised locally & nationally for their positive impact on the communities and industries in which they choose to work.

Our Purpose

To inspire our students to gain the skills, knowledge and behaviours they need to be resilient and thrive in an ever-changing world.

Our Values

Excellence: A culture of creativity, high expectations, ambition and aspiration

Respect: Showing fairness, courtesy and mutual respect to each other and our environment

Integrity: Honesty, openness and trust at the heart of College life

Diversity: Celebrating diversity and inclusivity as a key to our success

Non-Examination Assessment Policy 2024-25

Contents

Non-	Examination Assessment Policy 2024-251
Key S	staff Involved in the Conduct of Non-examination Assessments5
1.	What Does This Policy Affect?
2.	Purpose of the Policy
3.	What are Non-Examination Assessments?
4.	Procedures for Planning and Managing Non-examination Assessments Identifying Staff Roles and Responsibilities
5.	Task Setting9
6.	Issuing of Tasks9
7.	Task Taking9
8.	Advice and Feedback
9.	Resources
10.	Word and Time Limits
11.	Collaboration and Group Work11
12.	Authentication Procedures
13.	Presentation of Work
14.	Keeping Materials Secure
15.	Task marking – externally assessed components
16.	Task marking – internally assessed components
17.	Internal Standardisation

18.	Consortium Arrangements
19.	Submission of Marks and Work for Moderation16
20.	Storage and Retention of Work After Submission of Marks
21.	External Moderation Process17
22.	External Moderation Feedback17
23.	Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments
24.	Special Consideration and Loss of Work18
25.	Malpractice
26.	Post-Results Services
27.	Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences Designed for use in England
28.	Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language Specifications Designed for use in England
29.	External Candidates
30.	Management of Issues and Potential Risks Associated with Non- examination Assessments

Key Staff Involved in the Conduct of Non-examination Assessments

Role
Head of Centre
Principals
Vice Principals
Exams Manager
Assistant Principals, Curriculum Directors and HoDs
Head of MIS and Exams
Group Director Digital Marketing & Admissions
ALS Managers (SENCo Equivalent)

1. What Does This Policy Affect?

This policy affects the delivery of GCE and GCSE specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component, controlled assessments (where applicable) and coursework.

The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment).
- is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).
- 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'.

Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments. This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

The term coursework is a generic one. It includes the work required in Project qualifications and internally assessed work in other qualifications covered by these Instructions.

These instructions are for use in AQA Applied General qualifications, OCR Cambridge Nationals, CCEA GCE unitised AS and A-level qualifications, ELC and Project qualifications. They may also apply to other awarding body-specific Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 qualifications. Centres should refer to awarding body instructions. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting coursework, Introduction, Foreword)

(This document is further referred to in this policy as <u>ICC</u>)

2. **Purpose of the Policy**

This policy confirms the JCQ requirement that Windsor Forest Colleges Group has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments including controlled assessments and coursework.

Awarding bodies require centres to have a non-examination assessment policy in place to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

A JCQ Centre Inspector will ask the examinations officer to confirm that a policy is in place. Guidance provided in this document will help the head of centre to ensure that the centre's policy is fit for purpose. (<u>NEA</u> 1)

3. What are Non-Examination Assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;

• task marking.

[<u>NEA 1]</u>

What is coursework?

Coursework components assess candidates' skills, knowledge and understanding that may not be readily assessed by timed written papers. Coursework will take may different forms (<u>ICC</u> 1)

4. **Procedures for Planning and Managing Non-examination** Assessments Identifying Staff Roles and Responsibilities

Where reference is made in these procedures to non-examination assessment, this is intended to include (GCE and GCSE) non-examination assessments, controlled assessment (where relevant) and coursework.

The Basic Principles

Head of Centre

- Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update to confirm awareness of and that relevant Centre staff are adhering to the latest version of <u>NEA</u> and <u>ICC</u>
- Ensures the Centre's policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of nonexamination assessment
- Ensures the Centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the Centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality assurance (QA) lead/ lead internal verifiers

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject lecturers in line with awarding body criteria

- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject lecturers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensure a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject lecturers understand their role and responsibilities within the non- examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u>, <u>ICC</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/lead internal verifier (or equivalent role) to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject lecturers
- Understands the arrangements that the centre needs to put in place where the centre might accept private candidates (including distance learners and home educated students) for components of non-examination assessment

Subject Lecturer

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u> and <u>ICC</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the Exams manager is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries for the relevant exam series

Exams Manager

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication <u>NEA</u> and <u>ICC</u> documents to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

5. Task Setting

Subject Lecturer

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

6. Issuing of Tasks

Subject Lecturer

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

7. Task Taking

<u>Supervision</u>

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own.
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for</u> <u>candidates - non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates</u> <u>- Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates
- Ensures candidates:
- Understand that information from all sources must be referenced
- Receive guidance on setting out references

• Are aware they must not plagarise other material

8. Advice and Feedback

8.1. Subject Lecturer

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or outlines/headings specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it
- Ensures that candidates are aware of the internal appeals procedure

9. **Resources**

9.1. Subject Lecturer

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources including the internet and AI when planning and researching their tasks
- Refers to the JCQ document AI in Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications <u>https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice</u> as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and the regulator
- By referencing this document and the centre's malpractice policy and Al policy makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates

- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

10. Word and Time Limits

Subject Lecturer

• Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

11. **Collaboration and Group Work**

Subject Lecturer

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

12. Authentication Procedures

- Ensures candidates are aware of plagiarism and referencing rules
- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> or <u>ICC</u> and informs a member of the senior leadership team

13. **Presentation of Work**

Subject Lecturer

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> or <u>ICC</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

14. Keeping Materials Secure

Subject Lecturer

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for reviews of results or until the outcome of a review or any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means (the JCQ document Information for candidates – social media should be brought to the attention of candidates)
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

14.1. Head of IT

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained

- Considers the contingency of candidates' work being backed-up on two separate devices, including one off-site back-up and implementing appropriate security arrangements which protect candidates' work in the event of IT system corruption and cyber-attacks
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

15. Task marking - externally assessed components

Conduct of Externally Assessed Work

Subject Lecturer

- Liaises with the Exams Manager regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams Manager

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission Of Work

Subject Lecturer

• Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

Exams Manager

- Provides the attendance register to the Subject lecturer where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be dispatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work

- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Dispatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

16. **Task marking – internally assessed components**

Marking and Annotation

Head of Centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a
 person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example,
 members of their family (which includes stepfamily, foster family and similar
 close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g.
 son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

Heads of Department

• Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the Centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

- Attends awarding body training as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Does not use artificial intelligence as the sole means of marking candidates' work
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the Centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal

appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

17. Internal Standardisation

Quality Assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. ECTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
 - 1. obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - 2. holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - 3. carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - 4. after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - 5. making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
 - 6. retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject Lecturer

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

18. **Consortium Arrangements**

Heads of Department

- Ensures a consortium coordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- If the consortium lead, liaises with the Exams manager to ensure the awarding body is notified by submission of the Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work (including Spoken Language Endorsements, GCSE English Language) for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

- Provides marks to the Exams manager to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the Exams manager to the internal deadline
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Exams Manager

- Where the centre is the consortium lead:
 - submits the notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centreassessed work via the awarding body's Centre Admin Portal (CAP) to the deadline for each exam series affected
 - o submits marks to the awarding body deadline
 - liaises with other consortium Exams managers to arrange dispatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline

19. Submission of Marks and Work for Moderation

Heads of Departments

This may be delegated to a designated nominee by the Head of Department. Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the Exams Manager to the internal deadline:

- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the Exams manager to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

19.1. Exams Manager

- Ensures that for postal moderation:
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body

- moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
- proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the head of department, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

20. Storage and Retention of Work After Submission of Marks

Head of Department

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams Manager

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the Subject lecturer for secure storage and required retention

21. **External Moderation Process**

Head of Department

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the Centre's marking

22. External Moderation Feedback

22.1. Heads of Department

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

Exams Manager

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

23. Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments

Subject Lecturer

• Works with the SENCo (or equivalent role) to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

SENCo (or equivalent role)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access</u> <u>Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments</u> in relation to non-examination assessments including <u>Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences</u> – <u>Endorsement of practical skills</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject lecturers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject lecturers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

24. **Special Consideration and Loss of Work**

Subject Lecturer

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the Exams manager when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the Exams manager to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams Manager

• Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special</u> <u>consideration process</u>

- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff to Form 15 JCQ/LCW and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body (For coursework, AQA and OCR centres must not submit Form 15 JCQ/LCW. Applications must be submitted online using AQA Centre Services or OCR Interchange as appropriate)

25. Malpractice

Head of Centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations</u> and <u>Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject Lecturer

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates'</u> <u>work</u> to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in nonexamination assessments and coursework.
- Ensure candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates</u>
 <u>– non– examination assessments</u> and (where applicable) <u>Information for</u>
 <u>candidates Social Media</u>
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams Manager

• Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and</u> <u>Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre

- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates</u>' work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

26. **Post-Results Services**

Head of Centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Post-Results Services</u>
- Ensures the Centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

Heads of Department

• Provides relevant support to Subject lecturers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject Lecturer

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the postresults services available
- Provides the Exams manager with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline
- Supports the Exams manager in collecting candidate consent where required

Exams Manager

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post-Results Services</u> (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to centre assessed work are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

27. **Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences Designed for use in England**

Head of Centre

- Provides the 'Head of Centre' declaration at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Quality Assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier (or equivalent role)

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying the assessment criteria correctly

Heads of Department

- Confirms understanding of the Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes any training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to Subject lecturers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of a monitoring visit

- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the Exams manager to the internal deadline

Exams Manager

- Accepts contact with the monitor and pass information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks' notice
- Confirms with the Subject lecturer that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body to the external deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

28. **Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language Specifications Designed for use in England**

Head of Centre

• Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead Internal Verifier (or equivalent role)

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Heads of Department

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject lecturers
- Ensures subject lecturers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions

- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams Manager

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

29. External Candidates

Heads of Department

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the nonexamination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification

30. Management of Issues and Potential Risks Associated with Non-examination Assessments

Reference to non-examination assessment is intended to include GCE and GCSE specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component, controlled assessment (where applicable) and coursework.

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Awarding body set	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set	Head of IT and
task: IT	task noted prior to start of course	Exams
failure/corruption of	IT systems checked prior to key date	Manager
task details where set	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
task details accessed	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of	
from the awarding	task details	
body online		

Contro oct tooly Cubicat	Ensures that subject lecturers access awarding had	Curriculum
Centre set task: Subject	Ensures that subject lecturers access awarding body	
lecturer fails to meet the	training information, practice materials etc.	Leadership
assessment criteria as	Records confirmation that Subject lecturers understand	Teams and
detailed in the	the task setting arrangements as defined in the	Exams'
specification	awarding body's specification	Manager
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking	Curriculum
understand the	criteria described in the specification that is not specific	Leadership
marking criteria and	to the work of an individual candidate or group of	Teams and
what their need to do	candidates is produced for candidates	Subject
	Records confirm all candidates understand the	Lecturers
to gain credit		Lecturers
	marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record their understand the	
	marking criteria	
Subject lecturer long term	See Centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff	Curriculum
absence during the task	extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Leadership Teams
setting stage		
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy	Ensures Subject lecturers take care to distinguish	Curriculum
specification given	between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications	Leadership
to candidates	and requirements/tasks for new specifications	Teams and
undertaking new	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	Subject
specification	remains unresolved	Lecturers
Awarding body set task	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as	Curriculum
not issued to	detailed in the specification noted prior to start of	Leadership
candidates on time	course	Teams and
	Course information issued to candidates contains	Subject
	details when set task will be issued and needs to be	Lecturers
		Lecturers
	completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for	
	planning, resourcing and teaching	
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar	Exams
	with	Manager
	and follow:	
	the current JCQ documents Instructions for	
	conducting non-examination assessments and	
	(where applicable) Instructions for conducting	
	coursework	
	the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA	
	I na antra manifesta a la antra ali al antra a 2 y y a mir	
	material and candidates' work -	
	www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination- assessments	

Candidate malpractice	 Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not: submit work which is not their own make available their work to other candidates through any medium allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material assist other candidates to produce work use books, the internet, AI or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments, (where applicable) Information for candidates - Social Media - https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents and understand they must not post their work on social media 	Curriculum Leadership Teams, Subject Lecturers and Exams Manager
Task setting Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	Head of IT and Exams Manager
Centre set task: Subject lecturer fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject lecturers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that Subject lecturers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	Curriculum Leadership Teams and Exams Manager
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what their need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record their understand the marking criteria	Curriculum Leadership Teams and Subject Lecturers

Subject Lecturer long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's contingency plan – Teaching staff extended absence	Curriculum Leadership Teams
Issuing of Tasks		
Task for legacy specification given to candidates undertaking new specification	Ensures Subject lecturers take care to distinguish between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for new specifications Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Curriculum Leadership Teams and Subject Lecturers
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	Curriculum Leadership Teams and Subject Lecturers
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Curriculum Leadership Teams and Exams' Manager
Subject lecturer long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence	Curriculum Leadership Teams
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded Task taking	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample	Curriculum Leadership Teams, Exams' Manager and Safeguarding and Welfare Teams
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	Curriculum Leadership Teams and Subject Lecturers

Rooms or facilities	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms	Curriculum
inadequate for	and IT facilities for the start of the course	Leadership
candidates to take	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities	Teams and
tasks under	insufficient for number of candidates	Subject
appropriate	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam	Lecturers
supervision	venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision	Confirm Subject lecturers are aware of and follow	Curriculum
of candidates to enable	the current JCQ document Instructions for	Leadership
work to be	conducting non-examination assessments and any	Teams
authenticated	other specific	
	instructions detailed in the awarding body's	
	specification in relation to the supervision of	
	candidates	
	Confirm Subject lecturers understand their role and	
	responsibilities as detailed in the Centre's non-	
A candidate is suspected	examination assessment policy Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	Head of Centre,
of malpractice prior to	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	Principals, Vice
submitting their work for		Principals and
0	assessments (section 9 Malpractice) and (where	Exams'
assessment	applicable) instructions for conducting coursework (6.	
	Malpractice in coursework) are followed	Manager
	An internal investigation and where	
	appropriate internal disciplinary procedures	
	are followed	
Access arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ document A	ALS Managers
were not put in place for	guide to the special consideration process (section 2),	& Exams'
an assessment where a	to determine the process to be followed to apply for	Manager
candidate is approved	special consideration for the candidate	
for arrangements		
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	Head of Centre,
appropriate advice and	lecturers to record all information provided to	Principals, Vice
feedback not given by	candidates before work begins as part of the Centre's	Principals and
subject lecturer prior to	quality assurance procedures	Exams'
starting on their work		Manager
-	Regular monitoring of subject lecturer completed	-
	records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity.	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice	
	given to candidates prior to starting on their work as	
	appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	

Candidate claims no	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	Head of Centre,
advice and feedback	lecturers to record all advice and feedback provided to	Principalship
given by subject	candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the	and Exams'
lecturer during the	Centre's quality assurance procedures	Manager
task-taking stage		
	Regular monitoring of subject lecturer completed	
	records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as	
	appropriate to the subject and component	
	appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	
	during the task-taking stage	
A third-party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and Subject	Head of Centre,
assistance was given to	lecturer are interviewed, and statements recorded	Principals, Vice
candidates by the subject	where relevant	Principals and
lecturer over and above		Exams'
that allowed in the	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all	Manager
regulations and	assistance given	
specification	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is	
	submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference	Head of Centre,
reference information	information before work is submitted for formal	Principals, Vice
from published source	assessment	Principals and
		Exams'
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	Manager
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of their own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure	
	continued completion	
Candidate does not set	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and	Directors,
out references as	re-draft the set out of references before work is	Heads of
required	submitted for formal assessment	Departments
		and Exams
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	Manager
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments and (where applicable) Information for	
	candidates- coursework assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of their own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure	

		[]
	continued completion	
Candidate joins the	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the	Curriculum
course late after	candidate to catch up	Leadership
formally supervised		Teams
task taking has started		
Candidate moves to	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what	Curriculum
another centre during	can be done depending on the stage at which the	Leadership
the course	move takes place	Teams and
		Exams'
		Manager
An excluded student	The awarding body specification is checked to	Head of Centre,
wants to complete their	determine if the specification is available to a	Principals, Vice
non- examination	candidate outside mainstream education	Principals and
assessment(s)	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and	Exams'
	marking are made separately for the candidate	Manager
Resources		
A candidate augments	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are	Curriculum
notes and resources	collected in and kept secure between formally	Leadership
between formally	supervised sessions	Teams, subject
supervised sessions	Whore moment sticks are used by condidates these	lecturers and Exams'
	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these	Exams Manager
	are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	Manager
	Where work is stored on the Centre's network, access	
	for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	

A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Candidate's detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately	Directors, Heads of Departments and Exams Manager
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them	Directors, Heads of Departments and Subject Lecturers
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group		-
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Curriculum Leadership Teams and Exams' Manager
Authentication procedure	S	
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for	Curriculum Leadership Teams and Exams' Manager
Candidate plagiarises other material	candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that their understand what their need to do to comply with the regulations for non- examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for	

	candidates- coursework assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A	
	mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not	Records confirm that candidates have been issued	Curriculum
sign their	with the current JCQ document Information for	Leadership
authentication	candidates: non-examination assessments and	Teams and
statement/declaration	(where applicable) Information for candidates-	Subject
	coursework assessments	Lecturers
	Candidates confirm/record their understand what their	
	need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in	
	the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
	examination assessments and (where applicable)	
	Information for candidates- coursework assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting	
	the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject lecturer	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for Subject	Head of Centre,
not available to	lecturers to sign authentication forms at the point of	Principals and
sign	marking candidates work as part of the Centre's quality	Vice Principals
authentication	assurance procedures	
forms		
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed	Subject
fully complete the	before accepting the work of a candidate for formal	Lecturers
awarding body's cover	assessment	
sheet that is attached		
to their work submitted		
for formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work	Records confirm Subject lecturers are aware of and	Curriculum
between formal	follow current JCQ publication Instructions for	Leadership
		Teams, Head of
supervised sessions is	conducting non-examination assessments	reuns, rieuu or
supervised sessions is not securely stored	conducting non-examination assessments	IT and Subject
	conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures Subject	

Adequate secure	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is	Curriculum
storage not available	available to Subject lecturer prior to the start of the	Leadership
to Subject lecturer	course	Teams, Head of
		IT and Subject
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Lecturers
	Anemative secure storage sourced where required	
Candidates work produced electronically is not securely stored	 Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ document Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures: access to this material is restricted (insert how) appropriate security safeguards are in place (an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it 	IT Team
Task marking – externally	assessed components	
A candidate is absent	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if	Curriculum
on the day of the	alternative assessment arrangements can be made for	Leadership
examiner visit for an	the candidate	Teams and
acceptable reason		Exams'
	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Manager
A candidate is absent	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance	Subject Lecturer
on the day of the	register	
examiner visit for an		
unacceptable reason		
Task marking – internally	assessed components	
A candidate submits little	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is	Subject Lecturer
or no work	recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the	
	awarding body	
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work	
	produced is assessed against the assessment criteria	
	produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work	

A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ document A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	Curriculum Leadership Teams and Subject Lecturers
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ document Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (8), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Curriculum Leadership Teams and Exams' Manager
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ document Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (9 Malpractice) and (where applicable) instructions for conducting coursework (6. Malpractice in coursework) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current	Head of Centre, Principals, Vice Principals and Exams' Manager
	JCQ document Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	
A teacher marks the work of their own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching their own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Head of Centre, Principals, Vice Principals and Exams Manager
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ document on non-examination assessments, to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Curriculum Leadership Teams and Exams' Manager
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the <u>JCQ guidance</u> on non-examination assessments, to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	Curriculum Leadership Teams and Exams' Manager

candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been	Curriculum
appeal/request a review	awarded for their work prior to the marks being	Leadership
of the marks awarded for	submitted to the awarding body	Teams and
their work by their	Records confirm candidates have been informed of	Exams'
teacher	their marks	Manager
	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the Centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the Exams manager for the submission of marks Through preparation, candidates are made aware of the Centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the Centre's marking prior to the submission	
	of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by	Curriculum
work for formal	candidates at the start of the course	Leadership
assessment not met by		Teams and
candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and	Subject
	understood	Lecturers
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	

Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of	Curriculum
each academic year	Leadership
	Teams
Reminders are issued through Directors as deadlines	
approach	
Subject lecturers	
Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	
	each academic year Reminders are issued through Directors as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by Subject lecturers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are